## Link State Routing Principles

## Link state routing

- The goal is to avoid the routing loops typical of DV routing and to scale to bigger networks and to varying topologies.
- A link state protocol maintains the topology map (link state DB ) of the network.
- Same map in every node
- When the topology changes, the maps are updated quickly
- OSPF (Open Shortest Path First) is the IETF specified link state protocol for Internet.
- OSPF is recommended as the follower of RIP
- More complex than RIP


## The map is the full list of all links

- Example network

- One node is responsible for a particular entry
- Link directions are separate entries
- Same map in every node
> No loops

| From | To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | 1 | 1 |
| A | D | 3 | 1 |
| B | A | 1 | 1 |
| B | C | 2 | 1 |
| B | E | 4 | 1 |
| C | B | 2 | 1 |
| C | E | 5 | 1 |
| D | A | 3 | 1 |
| D | E | 6 | 1 |
| E | B | 4 | 1 |
| E | C | 5 | 1 |
| E | D | 6 | 1 |

## The routing table is generated from the link state database

| From | To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | 1 | 1 |
| A | D | 3 | 1 |
| B | A | 1 | 1 |
| B | C | 2 | 1 |
| B | E | 4 | 1 |
| C | B | 2 | 1 |
| C | E | 5 | 1 |
| D | A | 3 | 1 |
| D | E | 6 | 1 |
| E | B | 4 | 1 |
| E | C | 5 | 1 |
| E | D | 6 | 1 |



| From | To | Link | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | A | local | 0 |
| A | B | 1 | 1 |
| A | C | 1 | 2 |
| A | D | 3 | 1 |
| A | E | 3 | 2 |

A's routing table

Link state database

## Flooding protocol distributes information about topology changes

- The updates are distributed to the whole network



## Flooding protocol distributes information about topology changes

Flooding algorithm:

| $>$ Receive $\boldsymbol{m}$ |
| :---: |
| Find corresponding <br> entry L in link DB |



Link database after distribution of failure of link $A B$


| From | To | Link | Cost | Seq.num |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | 1 | inf | 2 |
| A | D | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| B | A | 1 | inf | 2 |
| B | C | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| B | E | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| C | B | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| C | E | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| D | A | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| D | E | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| E | B | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| E | C | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| E | D | 6 | 1 | 1 |

- Message numbering starts from 1 on node restart.
- Modulo arithmetic is used to determine what is "a little bigger than"
$\Rightarrow$ message numbering can
$\Rightarrow 4294967295+1=0$


## If network splits into islands, databases in islands may diverge



| From | To | Link | Cost | Seq.num |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | 1 | inf | 2 |
| A | D | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| B | A | 1 | inf | 2 |
| B | C | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| B | E | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| C | B | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| C | E | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| D | A | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| D | E | 6 | inf | 2 |
| E | B | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| E | C | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| E | D | 6 | 1 | 1 |
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| From | To | Link | Cost | Seq.num |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | 1 | inf | 2 |
| A | D | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| B | A | 1 | inf | 2 |
| B | C | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| B | E | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| C | B | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| C | E | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| D | A | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| D | E | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| E | B | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| E | C | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| E | D | 6 | inf | 2 |

Link 2 fails $\Rightarrow$ databases diverge even more


DBs in $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and E :

| From | To | Link | Cost | Seq.num. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | 1 | inf | 2 |
| A | D | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| B | A | 1 | inf | 2 |
| B | C | 2 | inf | 2 |
| B | E | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| C | B | 2 | inf | 2 |
| C | E | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| D | A | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| D | E | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| E | B | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| E | C | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| E | D | 6 | inf | 2 |

## Databases diverge



There is no immediate problem, but if link 1 goes up again ...

| From | To | Link | Cost | Seq.num |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | 1 | inf | 2 |
| A | D | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| B | A | 1 | inf | 2 |
| B | C | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| B | E | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| C | B | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| C | E | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| D | A | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| D | E | 6 | inf | 2 |
| E | B | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| E | C | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| E | D | 6 | 1 | 1 |
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| From | To | Link | Cost | Seq.num |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | 1 | inf | 2 |
| A | D | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| B | A | 1 | inf | 2 |
| B | C | 2 | inf | 2 |
| B | E | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| C | B | 2 | inf | 2 |
| C | E | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| D | A | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| D | E | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| E | B | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| E | C | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| E | D | 6 | inf | 2 |

## Link 1 goes up



| From | To | Link | Cost | Seq.num |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| A | D | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| B | A | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| B | C | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| B | E | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| C | B | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| C | E | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| D | A | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| D | E | 6 | inf | 2 |
| E | B | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| E | C | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| E | D | 6 | 1 | 1 |


| From | To | Link | Cost | Seq.num |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| A | D | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| B | A | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| B | C | 2 | inf | 2 |
| B | E | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| C | B | 2 | inf | 2 |
| C | E | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| D | A | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| D | E | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| E | B | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| E | C | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| E | D | 6 | inf | 2 |


| From | To | Link | Cost | Seq.num |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| A | D | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| B | A | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| B | C | 2 | inf | 2 |
| B | E | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| C | B | 2 | inf | 2 |
| C | E | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| D | A | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| D | E | 6 | inf | 2 |
| E | B | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| E | C | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| E | D | 6 | inf | 2 |
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## After reconnection of the islands "bringing up adjacencies" is required



## What happens if router C is restarted?

- There may be LSAs in the network that were distributed by C before the restart
- After the restart, the router numbers the LSA:s with the initial sequence number
- The neighbor replies that is has newer information.
- If C wants to keep it own LSAs alive, it increases the sequence number by 1 and redistributes.
- If the information of the neighbor is no longer valid, C removes it by distributing the same entry with the age $=$ MaxAge.


## Integrity of the link DB must be secured

Protection:

- Flooding messages are acknowledged link by link.
- DB description messages are acknowledged.
- Each DB entry is protected by obsolescence timer. If an update does not arrive in time, the entry is removed.
- Each entry is protected by a checksum.
- Messages also carry authentication info.

But: while update is in progress, some nodes receive info earlier than others $\Rightarrow$ routing mistakes happen.

## Dijkstra's shortest-path-first algorithm

## Dijkstra's shortest-path-first algorithm

- OSPF is based on Dijkstra's shortest-path-first (SPF) algorithm.
- The purpose is to create a routing table.
- The shortest-path-first algorithm computes the shortest path from source node $\boldsymbol{S}$ to all other nodes.
- Dijkstra's algorithm converges faster than Bellman-Ford.
- $\mathrm{O}(M \log M)<\mathrm{O}(N \cdot M)$
- $M$ is number of links, $N$ is number of nodes, both the of same order of magnitude
- Nodes are divided to evaluated $\boldsymbol{E}$, the paths from which are known, and other nodes $\boldsymbol{R}$.
- In addition an ordered list of paths $\boldsymbol{O}$ is needed.


## Dijkstra's shortest-path-first algorithm



## Dijkstra's shortest-path-first algorithm

1. $\mathrm{E}=\{\mathrm{S}\}, \mathrm{R}=\{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}\}, \mathrm{O}=$ all one-hop paths starting from S$\}$
2. If O is empty or is the first path in O has infinite length:

- Mark all the remaining nodes in R as unreachable
- Stop

3. $P$ is the shortest path in O . Remove P from $\mathrm{O} . \mathrm{V}$ is the last node of $P$.
4. Is V is in E :

- Go to step 2

5. Create a set of paths by adding to $P$ all links starting from V. The path is the previous path + the cost of the link. Add these paths to O in length order.
6. Go to step 2


Dijkstra's shortest-path-first algorithm -
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## Dijkstra's shortest-path-first algorithm -

 example

## Advantages of Link State Protocols

- Link State DBs converge quickly, no loops are formed
- $\mathrm{O}(M \log M) \quad M=$ number of links
- Metrics can be quite accurate.
- In DV-protocols, counting-to-infinity limits (inf=16)
- One protocol can easily support several metrics:
- A routing table for each metric: capacity, delay, cost, reliability.
- Can maintain several routes to a destination.
- Load sharing
- Exterior routes can have their own representation.

```
"
```


## Using several metrics (1)

Using several metrics requires:

- Metrics must be stored for each link (L.cost1, L.cost2 ...)
- The protocol must carry all metrics
- Computing separate routing tables for each metric ( $\boldsymbol{P}(\operatorname{cost} t), \boldsymbol{P}(\cos t 2)$...)
- User packets must be marked with the required metric.


## Using several metrics (2)

A routing loop is possible if different nodes use different metrics for one user packet

$\Rightarrow$ User packets must be marked with the required metric

## Spreading load to alternative equidistant paths improves network efficiency

$+$
Queues in nodes become shorter

+ Average delay is decreased
+ End-to-end jitter decreases
$\oplus$ Less traffic to reroute under failure conditions

- May change packet order because paths may have different delay (queue lengths in nodes)
- Existing traffic can not be pinned down to primary path so that only overload would take the alternative path $\Rightarrow$ stability is a problem When are paths equidistant enough?


## When are paths equidistant enough?

- What happens if the traffic to C is divided between two alternative paths?

$\Rightarrow$ The packet to X can be sent through Y is Y is closer to the destination than the local node
- Rule $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y} \ldots \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$, if distance $(\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X})<\operatorname{distance}(\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{X})$ accepts only monotonic alternative routes


# Dijkstra's shortest-path-first algorithm that finds alternative paths 

## $\boldsymbol{E}=\{\mathrm{S}\}, \boldsymbol{R}=\{$ other nodes $\}, \boldsymbol{P}=\varnothing$ <br> $\boldsymbol{O}=\{$ paths starting from S with length 1$\}$ sort



## Dijkstra's shortest-path-first algorithm that finds alternative paths

1. $\mathrm{E}=\{\mathrm{S}\}, \mathrm{R}=\{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}\}, \mathrm{O}=\{$ all one-hop paths starting from S$\}$
2. If O is empty or is the first path in O has infinite length:

- Mark all the remaining nodes in R as unreachable
- Stop

3. P is the shortest path in O . Remove P from $\mathrm{O} . \mathrm{V}$ is the last node of P .
4. Is V is in E :

- Go to step 6

5. Create a set of paths by adding to $P$ all links starting from $V$. The path is the previous path + the cost of the link. Add these paths to O in length order. Go to step 2.
6. If the distance of path P from S to V is the same as previously calculated distance from S to V

- Add the alternative path to V .

7. Go to step 2

## Link state protocol can describe several external routes with accurate metrics

- DV-protocol capability to describe external routes is limited due to counting to infinity problem and due to complexity of Bellman-Ford algorithm
- Inf=16 $\Rightarrow$ maximum distance limited
- Bellman-Ford complexity is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{* *} 2\right)$
- Link state protocol is free from those limitations.
- SPF route computation is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N} \cdot \log \mathrm{N})$
where $\mathrm{N}=$ number of external routes
- E.g. about 30000 external routes $\Rightarrow 9 \bullet 10^{8}$ vs. 450000

The OSPF protocol

## OSPF sees the network as a graph



## OSPF makes a difference between a router and a host



This creates two link state records:

+ router
+ stub network


## OSPF supports broadcast networks (1)

In a broadcast network

- Each device can send to each other
- One can send to all or to a sub-set of connected devices
- If it has $N$ routers, they have $N^{*}(N-1) / 2$ adjacencies and
- Each router would advertise $N$-1 routes to other routers + one stub network $\Rightarrow N^{2}$

$N^{*}(N-1) / 2$ adjacencies (known neighbors)
E.g. Ethernet, Token ring, FDDI
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## OSPF supports broadcast networks (2)

Designated Backup
router designated router


- Adjacencies are formed only with the designated router (A)
$\Rightarrow$ Must be selected using the Hello protocol
$\Rightarrow$ Synchronization of link DBs becomes simpler
- Backup designated router $(\mathrm{B})$ is selected together with the designated.

- The broadcast network is modeled using a "virtual router"
- The links from the virtual router are network links
- Advertised by the designated router
- Cost $=0$
- The links from the routers to the virtual router
- Advertised by the routers


## OSPF flooding protocol in a broadcast network


$\Rightarrow$ No need to process acks from all other routers in the sub-net

Backup designated stays as silent as possible

## OSPF flooding protocol in a non-broadcast network

- In non-broadcast networks (e.g. X.25, ATM, frame relay), OSPF works in the same way except that broadcasts are replaced by point-to-point messages
Permanent connection with designatedPermanent connection with backup designated
----- Dial-up connection with other routers (other traffic)
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## By breaking down a large network into areas, OSPF eases flooding and reduces the size of link DBs



All OSPF routers on an area have identical link databases
(Sub)networks of other areas are described in summary records - the metric is computed in "RIP-style"

Link DB for Area A:

- a1, a2, a3
- Sub-net records of backbone and Area C (summary records)
$-\quad \mathrm{AB} 2, \mathrm{AB} 4$
- Distance ABx - bz or ABx - cy (metrics are summed).
- External records
$-\leftarrow \mathrm{AB} 2, \mathrm{AB} 4 \leftarrow \mathrm{BB} 0, \mathrm{BB} 1$
- Same information in all areas
 through the backbone $\Rightarrow$ No loops
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## OSPF easily recovers from failures in areas



## A virtual link can help if backbone splits into isolated segments due to a failure



## In a Stub Area, all external routes are summed to the default route

- If an OSPF area has only one area border router, all traffic to and from the Internet goes through this ABR. It is of no use to advertise all Internet routes separately towards such an area.
- There can even be several ABRs, but it is not possible to select the best of them based on destination prefix (leading bits $(<32)$ of IP address)
- A "Not So Stubby Area" (NSSA) is an area, on which all external routes have been summed into the default route except for some.

OSPF link state records

## Link State Advertisement (LSA) types in OSPF

- LS Type = 1 Router LSA
- describes set of active interfaces and neighbors
- LS Type $=2$ Network LSA
- describes a network segment (BC or NBMA) along with the IDs of currently attached routers
- LS Type $=3$ Summary LSA for IP Network
- LS Type $=4$ Summary LSA for Border Router
- LS Type $=5$ External LSA

Routing

- describes external routes
- LS Type $=6$ Group Membership LSA
- used in MOSPF for multicast routing
- LS Type = 7 Not So Stubby Area LSA
- to import limited external info
- LS Type $=8$ (proposed) external attributes LSA - in lieu of Internal BGP
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## Common header of Link State Advertisement (LSA)

| LS age | options | LS type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Link state ID |  |  |
| Advertising router |  |  |
| LS sequence number |  |  |
| LS checksum | length |  |

LS age:

- Seconds from advertisement

Options:

- E - external links
- T-type of service
- when many metrics are in use
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LS checksum:

- Protects header and content

Length:

- Total length of the record

Link state ID:

- Depends on LS type


## LSA Sequence Numbers

- "Lollipop sequence space"

- If one of the number is $<0$
- The higher number is newer
- If both numbers are $\geq 0$
- If $(b-a)<(N-1) / 2$ then $b$ is newer


## LSA Sequence Numbers

$工$| $\mathrm{S}_{\text {Max }}=0 \mathrm{x} 7 \mathrm{fffffff}$ |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{0}=0 \times 80000001$ |
| Initial Seq Nr |

- To roll the space over, first delete record with $\mathrm{S}_{\text {Max }}$
- A router may update a self originated record only once in 5 sec .
- In absense of errors rolling the space over takes at least 600 years.
- LS Age is updated during flooding at each step. Records with max Age are discarded. This breaks inf loops.


## Router LSA (type 1)

|  | RouterTypd | 0 | Number of links |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Link ID |  |  |
|  | Link data |  |  |
|  | Type | \# TOS | TOS 0 metric |
|  | TOS $=x$ | 0 | TOS x metric |
|  | TOS $=\mathrm{y}$ | 0 | TOS y metric |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | TOS $=$ z | 0 | TOS z metric |

Router type

- E-bit (External)
- This router is an area-border router
- B-bit (Border)
- This router is a border router

TOS 0 metric when no TOS is used
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## Network LSA (type 2)

| Network mask |
| :---: |
| Attached router |
| Attached router |
| $\ldots$ |
| Atttached router |

- Advertised by designated routers for transit networks
- Link state ID = IP interface ID
- Attached router = OSPF identifier of the attached router

Network LSA reduces LinkDB for BC networks


DR - designated router


Network-LSA is generated by DR
Network-LSA reflects DB sync status with DR both ways This reduces nrof link records from $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{*}(\mathrm{n}-1)\right)$ to $2^{*} \mathrm{n}$.
Particularly important if Network is ATM or FR with a lot of routers attached!

## Summary Link LSA (type 3,4)

| Network mask |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | TOS 0 metric |
| TOS $=\mathrm{x}$ | 0 | TOS x metric |
| TOS $=\mathrm{y}$ | 0 | TOS y metric |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |
| TOS $=\mathrm{z}$ | 0 | TOS z metric |

- For IP networks
- LS type = 3
- Network mask of network/subnet
- Link state ID = IP network/subnet number
- For border routers
- $\quad$ LS type $=4$
- Network mask = FFFFFFFF
- Link state ID = IP address of border router
- One separate advertisement for each destination
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## External Link LSA (type 5)

| Network mask |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E,TOS=0 | 0 | TOS 0 metric |
| External route tag (0) |  |  |
| E,TOS $=\mathrm{x}$ | 0 | TOS x metric |
| External route tag (x) |  |  |
| . |  |  |
| E, TOS $=$ = | 0 | TOS z metric |
| External route tag (z) |  |  |

- Advertised by border routers
- Information from external gateway protocols (BGP-4)
- One destination per record
- Link state ID = IP network/subnet of destination
- Network mask = network/subnet mask
- E-bit indicates that distance is not comparable to internal metrics
- Larger than any internal metric
- Route tag is only used by border routers (not used by OSPF)
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## Computation of routes



- Separate routes for each TOS and for TOS 0
- Possibly unreachable destinations for some TOS if not all routers support TOS $\Rightarrow$ routed with TOS 0 (no loops because same decision in all nodes)

Nonbroadcast multiaccess (NBMA) subnets support many routers communicating directly but do not have broadcast capability

- Examples are ATM, Frame Relay, X. 25
- IP routing requires more manual configuration
- Designated router and backup DR concept reduce the number of adjacencies
- The model is prone to failures that may be hard to track


The OSPF protocol

## OSPF packets - the protocol itself

- OSPF works directly on top of IP.
- OSPF protocol number is 89 .
- For most packets TTL $=1$, except for hierarchical routing
- Destination IP address =
- Neighbors IP address or
- AllOSPFRouters (224.0.0.5) or
- AllDesignatedRouters (224.0.0.6)
- OSPF has 3 sub-protocols:
- Hello protocol
- Exchange protocol
- Flooding protocol


## The common OSPF message header

| Version | Type | Packet length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Router ID |  |  |
| Area ID |  |  |
| Checksum |  | Authentic. type |
| Authentication |  |  |
| Authentication |  |  |

Type differentiates messages

- Type 1: Hello
- Type 2: Database Description
- Type 3: Link State Request
- Type 4: Link State Update
- Type 5: Link State Ack
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- Current version of OSPF is 2
- Area ID
- Usually a (sub)network number
- 0 = Backbone
- Authentication type
- $0=$ No authentication
- 1 = Password
- Limited protection
- 2 = Cryptographic authentication
- MD5

| 0 | Key ID | Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cryptographic sequence number |  |  |

Cryptographic sequence number

## Hello protocol ensures that links are working and selects designated router and backup DR



- Priority tells about eligibility for the role of designated router.
- A hello packet must be sent in both directions before a link is considered operational

| OSPF packet header type $=1$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Network mask |  |
| Hello interval | Options |
| Dead interval |  |
| Designated router |  |
| Backup designated router |  |
| Neighbor |  |
| Neighbor |  |

- Options
- $\mathrm{E}=$ external route capability.
- T=TOS routing capability.
- DR and Backup DR $=0$ if not known


## The Hello protocol selects the DR and the backup DR

1. Eligibility is achieved after one dead interval provided two-way reachability is OK.
2. From the routers that announced eligibility, the one with highest priority is elected to Backup Designated. Tie is broken by electing the one with highest ID.
3. If no neighbor proposed itself to backup DR , the neighbor with the highest priority is selected. Tie is broken by selecting the one with highest ID.
4. If one or several neighbors proposed themselves as designated router, the one with the highest priority is selected. Tie is broken by selecting the one with highest ID.
5. If none proposed itself to $D R$, the backup $D R$ is promoted. Actions 2 and 3 are repeated to re-select the backup DR.
6. A high priority former $\operatorname{DR}$ postpones its proposal to retake the position of $D R$ after recovery to minimize changes. Actions 2.... 5 are continuous.

## Exchange protocol initially synchronizes link DB with the designated router (1)



| OSPF packet header type $=2(\mathrm{dd})$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | Options | 0 IMMs |
| dd sequence number |  |  |  |

- If both want to be masters, the highest address wins
- Exchange protocol uses database description packets
- First the master and slave are selected
- Retransmission if the packet is lost
- The same sequence number in the replies


## Exchange protocol initially synchronizes link DB with the designated router (2)



Master sends its Link DB description in sequence numbered packets

- Slave acks by sending its corresponding description packets.

- Exchange continues until all descriptions are sent and acknowledged. ( $\mathrm{M}=0$ )
- Differences are recorded on the list of "records-to-request".


## Request packets are used to get record contents. Requests are acknowledged by flooding protocol packets



- Router waits for ack for resend interval. If no response, the request is repeated.
- The records to request may be split into many requests, there are too many.
- If something goes wrong, the typical remedy is to restart role negotiation.
- The first request can be sent immediately when the first differing record has been detected. Then dd-packet exchange and rq packet exchange take place in parallel.


## The flooding protocol continuously maintains the area's Link DB integrity



- Original LSA is always sent by the router responsible for that link.
- Advertisement is distributed according to flooding rules to the area (age=age+1).
- Ack of a new record by DR can be replaced in BC network by update message.
- One ack packet can acknowledge may LSAs.
- By delaying, several acks are collected to a single packet

Summary of OSPF subprotocols

|  | Hello <br> $(1)$ | DD <br> $(2)$ | LS rq <br> $(3)$ | LS upd <br> $(4)$ | LS ack <br> $(5)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hello protocol | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Database exchange |  | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| Flooding protocol |  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Server Cache Synchronization Protocol (SCSP) is OSPF without Dijkstra's algorithm and with more generic data objects.

## Link records have an age, old/dead ones are removed from Link DB (1)

- Old information must be removed from DB
- Every node must use the same information
$\Rightarrow$ The removals must be synchronized
- The LSAs of OSPF have an age
- Age $=0$ when the advertisement is created
- Age = number of hops through which the advertisement has traveled + seconds from reception
- Max age is 1 hour
- Not used in the calculation of routes
- Must be removed
- Every entry must be advertised at a 30 min interval.
- The new advertisement zeroes the age and increments the sequence number.


## Link records have an age, old/dead ones are removed from Link DB (2)

- When the age reaches MaxAge ( $=1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) the entry is removed
- The router must send an advertisement to the neighbors when the aged entry is removed
- The flooding algorithm examines the age of the received advertisement

1. MaxAge advertisement is accepted and flooded - this removes obsolete info.
2. If the age difference of the advertisement to the DB is small, the advertisement is not flooded to avoid overloading the network with multiple copies of the same info. This is due to normal routing when the entry is received on different paths.
3. If the age difference is large ( $>$ MaxAgeDiff), the newest advertisement is accepted and distributed. In this case, the router has probably been restarted.
4. If a MaxAge record is not found, advertisement has not impact. The router most likely has already removed the dead LSA.

## OSPF timeouts - LS Age field

| Constant | Value | Action of OSPF router |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MinLSArrival | 1 second | Max rate at which a router will accept <br> updates of any LSA via flooding |
| MinLSInterval | 5 seconds | Max rate at which a router can update an LSA <br> CheckAge |
| Rate to verify an LSA Checksum in DB |  |  |
| MaxAgeDiff | 15 min | When Ages differ more than 15 min, they are <br> considered separate. Smaller LS age - newer! |
| LSRefreshTime | 30 min | A Router must refresh any self-originated LSA <br> whose age has reached 30 min. |
| MaxAge | 1 hour | LSA is removed from DB. |

## The purpose of hierarchical routing in OSPF is to reduce routing table growth



The cost is: sometimes suboptimal routes.


## OSPF supports 4 level routing hierarchy



| Level | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Intra-area routing |
| 2 | Inter-area routing |
| 3 | External Type 1 <br> metrics |
| 4 | External Type 2 <br> metrics |

- Type 1 metrics are of the same order as OSPF metrics, e.g. hop count (for RIP and OSPF)
- Type 2 metrics are always more significant than OSPF internal metrics


## Why is it difficult to route packets around network congestion?

- BBN ARPANET link state metric varied with the length of the output queue of the link $\Rightarrow$ lead to route trashing.
- The problem is there is no route pin-down for existing traffic.
- By limiting the range of the metric changes, an equilibrium could be reached. Nevertheless routing instability is the problem.

When QoS or Class of Service a'la DiffServ is introduced this problem again becomes important.

## OSPF development history



