



## Lawler (1995) Chapter 1 Finite Markov Chains

- 1.1 Definitions and Examples
- 1.2 Long-Range Behaviour and Invariant Probability
- 1.3 Classification of States
- 1.4 Return Times
- 1.5 Transient States
- (1.6 Examples)

### Chapter 1: Finite Markov Chains

#### 1.1 Definitions and Examples

- Consider a discrete time stochastic process  $X_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , with state space  $S = \{1, \dots, N\}$  (or  $\{0, \dots, N-1\}$ )
- *Definition:*
  - A time-homogeneous **Markov chain** is a process such that

$$P\{X_n = i_n \mid X_0 = i_0, \dots, X_{n-1} = i_{n-1}\} = P\{X_n = i_n \mid X_{n-1} = i_{n-1}\} = p(i_{n-1}, i_n)$$

for some function  $p : S \times S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Matrix  $P = (p(i, j); i, j = 1, \dots, N)$  is called the **transition matrix** of the Markov chain.

- *Notes:*
  - Given an initial distribution  $\phi(i) = P\{X_0 = i\}$ , we have

$$P\{X_0 = i_0, \dots, X_n = i_n\} = \phi(i_0)p(i_0, i_1) \cdots p(i_{n-1}, i_n) \quad (1.3)$$

- Transition matrix  $P$  is a **stochastic matrix**, i.e.

$$0 \leq p(i, j) \leq 1, \quad \forall i, j \quad \sum_{j=1}^N p(i, j) = 1, \quad \forall i$$

- Any stochastic matrix is the transition matrix for some Markov chain

## 1.1 Definitions and Examples

- *Definition:*
  - Define the (conditional) ***n*-step probabilities**  $p_n(i, j)$  by

$$p_n(i, j) = P\{X_n = j \mid X_0 = i\} = P\{X_{n+k} = j \mid X_k = i\}$$

- *Proposition:*
  - **Chapman-Kolmogorov equation:**

$$p_{m+n}(i, j) = \sum_{k \in S} p_m(i, k) p_n(k, j)$$

- *Idea of the proof:*
  - By conditioning at time  $m$
- *Corollary:*
  - $p_n(i, j)$  is the  $(i, j)$ -entry in the matrix  $P^n$ ,

$$p_n(i, j) = [P^n](i, j)$$

## 1.1 Definitions and Examples

- *Definition:*
  - Given an initial distribution  $\phi$ , the unconditional  $n$ -step probabilities are

$$\phi_n(j) = P\{X_n = j\} = \sum_{i \in S} \phi(i) p_n(i, j)$$

- *Note:*
  - The same in the matrix form:

$$\bar{\phi}_n = \bar{\phi} P^n$$

## 1.2 Long-Range Behaviour and Invariant Probability

- *Definition:*

- A probability vector  $\pi$  is called an **invariant distribution** for  $P$  if

$$\bar{\pi} = \bar{\pi}P$$

- *Notes:*

- The system of linear equations given above for the determination of  $\pi$  are called **Global Balance Equations** (GBE):

$$\pi(y) = \sum_{x \in S} \pi(x)p(x, y), \quad y \in S \quad (\text{GBE})$$

- Requiring that  $\pi$  is a probability vector (= distribution) is the so called **Normalizing Condition** (N):

$$\sum_{x \in S} \pi(x) = 1 \quad (\text{N})$$

## 1.2 Long-Range Behaviour and Invariant Probability

- *Proposition:*

- Starting with an invariant distribution  $\pi$  as the initial distribution  $\phi$ , we have, for all  $n$ ,

$$\bar{\phi}_n = \bar{\pi}P^n = (\bar{\pi}P)P^{n-1} = \bar{\pi}P^{n-1} = \dots = \bar{\pi}$$

- *Note:*

- In fact, the chain is then stationary with **stationary distribution**  $\pi$

## 1.2 Long-Range Behaviour and Invariant Probability

- *Proposition:*

- Suppose  $\pi$  is a **limiting distribution**, i.e. for some initial distribution  $\phi$ , we have

$$\bar{\pi} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\phi} P^n$$

- Then it is also an invariant distribution,

$$\bar{\pi} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\phi} P^{n+1} = \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\phi} P^n \right) P = \bar{\pi} P$$

## 1.3 Classification of States

- *Definition:*

- Two states  $i$  and  $j$  **communicate** ( $i \leftrightarrow j$ ) if there exist  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $p_m(i,j) > 0$  and  $p_n(j,i) > 0$

- *Notes:*

- Relation  $\leftrightarrow$  is an equivalence relation.
- Equivalence classes are called **communication classes**

- *Definition:*

- Markov chain is called **irreducible** if there is only one communication class

### 1.3 Classification of States

- *Definition:*

- Communication class  $C$  is **recurrent** if and only if for all  $i \in C$ ,

$$\sum_{j \in C} P(i, j) = 1$$

- and **transient** if and only if for some  $i \in C$ ,

$$\sum_{j \in C} P(i, j) < 1$$

- *Notes:*

- A transient class is eventually left, but a recurrent class never
- If there is only one class (i.e. the chain is irreducible), it must be recurrent

### 1.3 Classification of States

- *Proposition:*

- Assume that  $P_R$  is the part of  $P$  related to a recurrent class  $R$ .
- If there is  $n$  such that  $(P_R)^n$  has all entries strictly positive, then there is a distribution  $\pi_R$  defined on  $R$  such that  $\pi_R(i) > 0$  for all  $i \in R$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P_R)^n = \bar{1} \bar{\pi}_R$$

- *Notes:*

- As a limit,  $\pi_R$  is unique
- As a limiting distribution,  $\pi_R$  is invariant with respect to  $P_R$
- For any initial distribution  $\phi_R$  defined on  $R$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_R (P_R)^n = \phi_R \bar{1} \bar{\pi}_R = \bar{\pi}_R$$

- There cannot be any other invariant distributions

- *Proposition:*

- Assume that  $P_T$  is the part of  $P$  related to a transient class. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P_T)^n = 0$$

### 1.3 Classification of States

- *Definition:*
  - Consider an irreducible Markov chain. The **period**  $d(i)$  of state  $i$  is the greatest common divisor of the set

$$J_i = \{n \geq 0 \mid p_n(i, i) > 0\}$$

- *Proposition:*
  - All the states of an irreducible Markov chain have the same period  $d \equiv d(i)$
- *Definition:*
  - An irreducible Markov chain is called **aperiodic** if  $d = 1$
- *Notes:*
  - A self-transition (even a single one) makes an irreducible chain aperiodic
  - However, there are also aperiodic chains without any self-transitions
  - A pairwise-transition ( $p(i, j) > 0$  and  $p(j, i) > 0$ ) implies that  $d \leq 2$ :

$$p_2(i, i) \geq p(i, j)p(j, i) > 0$$

- An irreducible Markov chain is aperiodic if and only if there is  $n$  such that  $P^n$  has all entries strictly positive

### 1.3 Classification of States

- *Theorem:*
  - An irreducible **aperiodic** Markov chain has a unique invariant distribution  $\pi$
  - If  $\phi$  is any initial distribution, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\phi} P^n = \bar{\pi}$$

- Moreover, for each  $i$ ,

$$\pi(i) > 0$$

- *Notes:*
  - For any initial probability vector  $\phi$  and any state  $j \in S$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(j) = \pi(j)$$

- For any  $i, j \in S$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(i, j) = \pi(j)$$

### 1.3 Classification of States

- *Theorem:*
  - An irreducible **periodic** Markov chain with period  $d$  has a unique invariant distribution  $\pi$
  - If  $\phi$  is any initial distribution, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\phi} P^n$$

does not exist, but

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d} (\bar{\phi} P^{n+1} + \dots + \bar{\phi} P^{n+d}) = \bar{\pi}$$

- Moreover, for each  $i$ ,

$$\pi(i) > 0$$

- *Idea of the proof:*
  - Use the previous result by defining a new, aperiodic chain as follows:

$$\hat{p}(i, j) = \frac{1}{2} (\delta(i, j) + p(i, j))$$

where  $\delta(i, j) = 1$  if  $i = j$  and 0 otherwise. The same invariant distribution!

13

### 1.3 Classification of States

- *Theorem:*
  - Consider a Markov chain with recurrent, aperiodic classes  $R_1, \dots, R_r$  and transient classes  $T_1, \dots, T_s$ . Let  $\pi^k$  denote the unique invariant distribution of class  $R_k$ .
  - Then any linear combination (with weights summing to 1) of  $\pi^k$ 's is an invariant distribution for the chain
- *Idea of the proof:*
  - Use block matrices to verify the result

### 1.3 Classification of States

- *Theorem:*
  - Consider a Markov chain with recurrent, aperiodic classes  $R_1, \dots, R_r$  and transient classes  $T_1, \dots, T_s$ . Let  $\pi^k$  denote the unique invariant distribution of class  $R_k$ . Let  $\alpha_k(i)$  denote the probability that the chain starting in a state  $i$  eventually ends up in the recurrent class  $R_k$ .
  - Then, for any state  $i \in S$  and  $j \in R_k$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(i, j) = \alpha_k(i) \pi^k(j)$$

- *Notes:*
  - For any recurrent state  $i \in R_k$ , we have  $\alpha_k(i) = 1$
  - If  $\phi$  is an initial distribution, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\phi} P^n$$

exists but depends on  $\phi$  so that for any state  $j \in R_k$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(j) = \sum_{i \in S} \phi(i) \alpha_k(i) \pi^k(j)$$

### 1.4 Return Times

- Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with invariant distribution  $\pi$ 
  - Assume that  $X_0 = i$  and denote the first time after 0 that the Markov chain is in state  $i$  by  $T$ ,

$$T = \min\{n \geq 1 \mid X_n = i\}$$

- *Proposition:*

$$E[T] = \frac{1}{\pi(i)} < \infty$$

- *Idea of the proof:*
  - By a renewal argument applying Blackwell's Theorem. Consecutive visits to state  $i$  constitute a renewal process in discrete time.



## 1.4 Return Times

- Renewal theory in discrete time
  - Interarrival times  $T_n$  i.i.d. with period  $d$

$$d = \max \{k \geq 1 \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{T = nk\} = 1\}$$

- Define

$$I_n = I\{\text{arrival at time } n\}$$

- *Elementary Renewal Theorem:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n P\{I_m = 1\} = \frac{1}{E[T]}$$

- *Blackwell's Theorem:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{I_{nd} = 1\} = \frac{d}{E[T]}$$

- *Corollary:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{m=1}^d P\{I_{n+m} = 1\} = \frac{1}{E[T]}$$

## 1.5 Transient States

- Consider a Markov chain  $X_n$  with recurrent classes  $R_1, \dots, R_r$  and transient classes  $T_1, \dots, T_s$ 
  - Denote the part of the transition matrix  $P$  that relates to the transient states by  $Q$ , and reorder the states so that

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{P} & 0 \\ S & Q \end{bmatrix}$$

- Matrix  $I - Q$  is invertible and we may define the matrix

$$M = (I - Q)^{-1} = I + Q + Q^2 + \dots$$

- Let  $i$  be a transient state and denote the total number of visits to  $i$  by  $Y_i$ ,

$$Y_i = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I\{X_n = i\}$$

## 1.5 Transient States

- *Proposition:*
  - For any transient states  $i, j$ , we have

$$E[Y_i | X_0 = j] = [M](j, i)$$

- *Proof:*

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_i | X_0 = j] &= E\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I\{X_n = i\} \mid X_0 = j\right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\{X_n = i \mid X_0 = j\} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(j, i) \\ &= \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n\right](j, i) \\ &= \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q^n\right](j, i) \\ &= \left[(I - Q)^{-1}\right](j, i) \\ &= [M](j, i) \end{aligned}$$

19

## 1.5 Transient States

- Let  $X_n$  be an irreducible Markov chain with transition matrix  $P$ 
  - Assume that  $X_0 = j$  and denote the first time after 0 that the Markov chain is in state  $i$  by  $T_i$ ,

$$T_i = \min\{n \geq 1 \mid X_n = i\}$$

- Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $i = 1$ ,

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} p(i, i) & R \\ S & Q \end{bmatrix}$$

- Consider then the modified Markov chain with transition matrix

$$\tilde{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ S & Q \end{bmatrix}$$

- Now  $i$  is an absorbing state and all the other states are transient
- Let

$$M = (I - Q)^{-1}$$

20

## 1.5 Transient States

- *Proposition:*
  - For any  $j \neq i$ , we have

$$E[T_i | X_0 = j] = \sum_{k \neq i} [M](j, k) < \infty$$

- *Proof:*
  - For any  $k \neq i$ , denote the total number of visits to  $k$  by  $\tilde{Y}_k$ ,

$$\tilde{Y}_k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I\{\tilde{X}_n = k\}$$

- Now

$$T_i = \sum_{k \neq i} \tilde{Y}_k$$

- Thus, by the previous proposition,

$$\begin{aligned} E[T_i | X_0 = j] &= E[\sum_{k \neq i} \tilde{Y}_k | \tilde{X}_0 = j] \\ &= \sum_{k \neq i} [M](j, k) \end{aligned}$$

## 1.5 Transient States

- Consider a Markov chain  $X_n$  with absorbing states  $r_1, \dots, r_k$  and transient states  $t_1, \dots, t_s$ 
  - By a suitable reordering of the states, the transition matrix  $P$  is as follows:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ S & Q \end{bmatrix}$$

- As before, let

$$M = (I - Q)^{-1}$$

- Let  $\alpha(t_i, r_j)$  denote the probability that the chain starting at  $t_i$  eventually ends up in recurrent state  $r_j$ ,

$$\alpha(t_i, r_j) = P\left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = r_j \mid X_0 = t_i \right\}$$

- Define an  $s \times k$  matrix by  $A = (\alpha(t_i, r_j); i = 1, \dots, s, j = 1, \dots, k)$

## 1.5 Transient States

- *Proposition:*
  - For any  $i, j$ , we have

$$\alpha(t_i, r_j) = [MS](i, j)$$

- *Proof:*
  - Clearly

$$\alpha(t_i, r_j) = p(t_i, r_j) + \sum_{k=1}^S p(t_i, t_k) \alpha(t_k, r_j)$$

- The same in the matrix form:

$$A = S + QA \Rightarrow (I - Q)A = S \Rightarrow A = (I - Q)^{-1}S = MS$$

## The End of Chapter 1

